Dear Editors

Stefan’s review of Gary Roth’s book on Paul Mattick (February Socialist Standard) is interesting and thought-provoking. There is, however, one otherwise minor point I think it would be useful to bring out. The World Socialist Party of the U.S. did not ‘originate’ from the Socialist Party of Michigan, as stated in the review.

The Workers’ Socialist Party was the direct result of collaboration between disaffected Detroit members of the SP of A and SPGB ‘slackers’ Adolph Kohn and Moses Baritz, on the run from the British wartime authorities. These members, drawing on Kohn’s input, resigned as a body from the SP of A, which would not allow the breakaways to use its registered trademark as the Socialist Party of the United States. So they dodged the issue by adding the qualifier ‘Workers’ to the name.

The emergence of the Proletarian Party, on the other hand, was the outcome of mounting conflicts within the SP of A’s Michigan affiliate: between the faction grouped around John Keracher, who promoted a radical policy of no reforms of capitalism, and members who had no trouble selling the national office on Keracher’s heretical radicalism. The national organization finally ‘expelled’ the Michigan troublemakers by excluding them from its reorganized state affiliate. The new Proletarian Party, under Keracher’s leadership, held views that were generally regarded by the WSP as nearly identical to its own – except for the PP’s passionate endorsement of the Bolshevik Revolution.

It is true there was a longstanding relationship between the Proletarian Party and the Workers’ Socialist Party/Socialist Education Society. But it was a debating interest centered mainly around the latter’s infatuation with ‘the Russian bug,’ as WSP members referred to it. The PP often derisively referred to the WSP as ‘revolutionary tea drinkers.’

It is in any event somewhat misleading to describe both organizations unqualifiedly as having a common origin in the Socialist Party of Michigan.

RON ELBERT, Boston, USA
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